PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 600323-021

REMARKS

This present Preliminary Amendment is being submitted with a Petition to Revive, in view of the inadvertent abandonment of the present Application. For the purpose of advancing the prosecution of the present case, Applicants submit a Request for Continued Examination, in response to the Final Office Action dated September 15, 2006. Applicants request revival and examination of the present application accordingly.

Amendment to the Claims

Claim 26 has been cancelled, without prejudice.

Claims 1, 3-4 and 25 have been amended to further recite the additional limitation of the condition may be a primitive event, a database event or a temporal event. This amendment does not add any new subject matter as these types of triggering events were disclosed in the application are originally filed, see, e.g. page 10, lines 15-22. As such, Applicants request entrance and examination of the pending claims.

Rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-21, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,185,613 ("Lawson") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,903,893 ("Kleewin"). Applicants respectfully submit the rejection is improper because the combination fails to teach or suggest all of the claimed limitations.

In the response to arguments section, the Examiner provides additional clarification regarding the interpretation of the term "events." Applicants respectfully disagree and submit this is overly broad because a list entry indicating that an event has occurred is wholly

-8-

PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 600323-021

inconsistent with the event data itself. A simple analogy would be a list of sporting events, such as Team A vs. Team B. This listing of the event only indicates that such an event occurred. Whereas, it is recognized that event data requires additional information, such as the names and statistics of the players in the game, the final score, etc.

Regardless thereof, Applicants present herewith further amendments to the independent claims to advance the present prosecution. To provide further clarification, Applicants submit that the triggering vents may be a primitive event, a database event or a temporal event. Lawson describes the triggered response of recognizing a user login and running a virus scan. This type of triggering event is not a primitive event, database event or a temporal event, as claimed.

Therefore, in view of the above offered position regarding "event data" and the amendments to the claims, Applicants submit that claims 1, 3-4 and 25 recite patentable subject matter in view of the prior art of record. Moreover, regarding claims 2, 5-21 and 23-24¹, Applicants submit these claims recite additional patentable subject matter and are allowable for at least the same reasons.

Applicants note that claim 24 stands rejected based on the additional reference of U.S. Dalent No. 4 141 240 (Teukerman)

PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 600323-021

For at least all of the above reasons, the Applicants respectfully request that the claims be presented for examination. To expedite prosecution of this application to allowance, the examiner is invited to call the Applicants' undersigned representative to discuss any issues relating to this application.

Dated: October 11, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents on October 11.

2007.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy J. Bechen Reg. No. 48,126 DREIER LLP

499 Park Ave.

New York, New York 10022

Tel: (212) 328-6000 Fax: (212) 328-6001

Customer No. 61834